IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 467 OF 2004

UNION OF INDIA ....Applicant

Versus

SHRI UTTAM B.DESSAI(SIN.DEC.) THROUGH L.RS., ...Respondents

Ms. G. Bhonsule, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the applicant.

Ms. Priyanka Kamat, Advocate for the respondents No.2(b) to 2(e), 3(a) to 3(f).

Ms. Kamaxi Sambari, Advocate for the the respondents No.4(a) to 4(e).

Coram:- R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.

Date:- 23rd February, 2006

P.C.

Heard Ms. G. Bhonsule, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the applicant, Ms. Priyanka Kamat, Advocate for the respondents No.2(b) to 2(e), 3(a) to 3(f) and Ms. Kamaxi Sambari, Advocate for the the respondents No.4(a) to 4(e). None present for the others though served.

Considering the facts disclosed in the application which reveal sufficient cause for the delay of five days in filing the appeal, the delay is condoned and the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.

ssm.